| | Application N | lo. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------|
| , Interview Summary | 10/723,755 | 0/723,755 STOBIE ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Zheng Wei | | 2192 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC | personnel): | | | |
| (1) <u>Tuan Q. Dam</u> . | (3) <i>Jens C.</i> | Jenkins (Reg#:4 | <u> 14803)</u> . | |
| (2) <u>Zheng Wei</u> . | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: 05 February 2008. | · | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant | 2) applicant | s representative | I | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>15-17,42-44</u> . | | • | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Johnson et al.</u> . | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. | g) was not re | eached. h) | /A . | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | t was agreed to | f an agreement | was |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | copy of the ame | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRETATION. | e last Office act R OF ONE MON TERVIEW SUM | ion has already ITH OR THIRTY IMARY FORM, V | been filed, APPI DAYS FROM T VHICHEVER IS | LICANT IS HIS |
| · | • | | | • |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | |) |
| | SUPI | TUAN DA | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | 1 | Examiner's signa | ature, if required | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

- (1) The Applicant clarified the main invention of current application and addressed the difference to the prior art Johnson.
- (2) The Applicant presented the proposed amendment claims to further indicate the difference between the first verification level and second level.
- (3) The Examiner pointed out that some terms used in the proposed claims may raise 35 U.S.C. § 112 issues regarding "insert record object" for different verification levels as cited in claims 17, 42-44
- (4) The Examiner is waiting for the official amendment for further prosecution.